

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/742,692	2 12/22/2003		Eli Brown	6218		
24987	7590	12/21/2005		EXAMINER		
MARCUS		•	FIDEI, DAVID			
SALT LAK		=	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3728		

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)						
Offic	Astion Cummany	10/742,6		BROWN, ELI						
Oine	ce Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit						
		David T.		3728						
Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communication				Idress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1) Respons	Responsive to communication(s) filed on									
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.									
3) Since thi	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
closed in	n accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Q</i>	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims										
4) Claim(s)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)☐ Claim(s)) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.									
<u> </u>	is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Application Paper	rs									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 December 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.										
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:										
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Attachment(s)										
1) Notice of Referen			4) Interview Summary		w a 11					
	person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		150					
3) [] Information Discle Paper No(s)/Mail	losure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S I Date	SB/08)	6) Other:	жент Аррисацон (г то)-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/742,692 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application is objected to under 37 CFR 1.172(a) as lacking the written consent of all assignees owning an undivided interest in the patent. The consent of the assignee must be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.172. See MPEP § 1410.01.

A proper assent of the assignee in compliance with 37 CFR 1.172 and 3.73 is required in reply to this Office action.

2. The original patent, or a statement as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be received before this reissue application can be allowed. See 37 CFR 1.178.

Drawings

- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.173 (b)(3). Any changes to a patent drawing must be submitted as a replacement sheet of drawings which shall be an attachment to the amendment document. Any replacement sheet of drawings must be in compliance with § 1.84 and shall include all of the figures appearing on the original version of the sheet, even if only one figure is amended. Amended figures must be identified as "Amended," and any added figure must be identified as "New." In the event that a figure is canceled, the figure must be surrounded by brackets and identified as "Canceled." All changes to the drawing(s) shall be explained, in detail, beginning on a separate sheet accompanying the papers including the amendment to the drawings.
- (i) A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be included. The marked-up copy must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Marked-up Drawings" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change to the drawings.
- (ii) A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, must be provided when required by the examiner.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.173 (b)(3) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing

Art Unit: 3728

sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.

The declaration does not identify the features of the disclosed embodiment that they apparently failed to originally appreciate. The declaration must also identify specific features of the claims that are associated with the allegedly disclosed, but not originally claimed, embodiment of the present invention. A general statement of the basis of the error or that applicant failed to include the following claims is not an acceptable statement of an error. Specific changes or amendments to the claims must be pointed out. See MPEP § 1414.

5. Claims 1-17 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/742,692 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

Specification

6. The amendment filed December 22, 2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: col. 4, lines 39-50.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 8. Claims 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The disclosure must describe the claimed invention with all its limitations. <u>See Tronzon</u> <u>v. Biomet Inc.</u>, (Fed. Cir. 1998); <u>Lockwood v American Airlines Inc.</u>, (Fed. Cir. 1997).

The invention, as originally filed, mentioned nothing about the securing means defining a vent 22a to direct heat for release through the top of the exposed portions of the hot iron.

Additionally side release vents 22 were not disclosed as acting in conjunction with the top vent in order to facilitate more rapid heat dissipation through the sides and top.

9. Claims 1-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being based upon new matter added to the patent for which reissue is sought. The added material which is not supported by the prior patent is as follows: See paragraphs 6 & 8 above.

REPLY BY APPLICANT OR PATENT OWNER TO THIS OFFICE ACTION

owner must reply to <u>every ground</u> of objection and rejection in this Office action. The reply must present arguments <u>pointing</u> out the <u>specific distinctions</u> believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. The applicant 's or patent owner 's reply must appear throughout to be a bona fide attempt to advance the application or the reexamination proceeding to final action. A <u>general allegation</u> that the claims define a patentable invention <u>without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section.

The reply must be reduced to writing (emphasis added)", see 37 CFR 1.111 (b) & (c), M.P.E.P. 714.02.</u>

Pointing out specific distinctions means clearly indicating in the written response what features/elements or distinctions have been added to the claim/claims, where support is found in the specification for such recitations and how these features are not shown, taught, obvious or inherent in the prior art.

If no amendments are made to claims as applicant or patent owner believes the claims are patentable without further modification, the reply must distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the examiner 's action and must respond to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office Action in the same vain as given above, 37 CFR 1.111 (b) & (c), M.P.E.P. 714.02.

The examiner also points out, due to the change in practice as affecting final rejections, older decisions on questions of prematureness of final rejection or admission of subsequent amendments do not necessarily reflect present practice. "Under present practice, second or any subsequent actions on the merits shall be final, except where the examiner introduces a new ground of rejection that is neither necessitated by applicant's amendment of the claims nor based on information submitted in an information disclosure statement filed during the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.97(c)" (emphasis mine), see MPEP 706.07(a).

Art Unit: 3728

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David T. Fidei whose telephone number is (571) 272-4553. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David T. Fidei
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3728

dtf June 4, 2005